01209

1962/11/17

DEPARTMENT OF STAFF BUREAU OF INTELLIGENCE AND RESEARCE

Research Memorandum RSB-185, November 17, 13 -2

THROUGH: s/s

H FROM o osubject: Magotiations on Cuba: the

We have analyzed recent Soviet diplomatic overtures and Moscow's prolaganda linki a Cuban settlement with prospects for negotiations in other fields.

CONCLUEDNS

õ

We conclude that a stalemate in the Cuban talks might actually be more baneficial to US interests in Lauin america, in Cuba and in broater magneti machs with the USSR than ; settlement.

The US would preserve its freedom for future action to force the dunnial of Castro and the withdrawal of the Soviet Union from Latin merica if a suitable opportunity presents itself.

- (2) Continue Soviet presence in Cuba would be made as difficult as ossible and rollively useless. Eventually, Moscow might even question. the value of remaining in Cubi mier such circumstances, though that result cannot be it inly forsees at this time.
- (1) There would be an incentive for the Soviet Union to engage in reductive negotiations on other issues, because the Soviet Union may ... sh to create a political climate which would impede further US action Tainst Cuba.

Soviet Woley was in Orba

La emphasizing peaceful coexistence, the libility of an Interwest detente, and the improving climate for negotial s. Moscow appear to be cursuing two separate sets of objectives.

In the immediate future the Soviets hope of Loure an optimum settlement on Cuba. The Soviet Union has now made clear the outlines of what it wishes to achieve in a Cuban settlement.

- It hopes to create a political cl. ate which the US possible justification for further action against Cuba.

JEPARINGENI UF S) RELEASE **d** Excise) DENY) DELETE Non-Responsive line) CLAS TS authority to: CLASSIFY ES (MS or () C OADR () DOT HOLE

IS/FPC/CDR () () () () A -18 -9

DECLASSIFY IN PART ponsive Info STATE Ь DEPARTMENT (

I) DOWNGRADE TS to () S or () C OADR



- It clearly wishes to drive the best bargain it can get on W assumment to the Castro regime. And no matter how qualified the non-invasion assurance it finally obtained might be, the Soviet Union would attempt to interpret it in a fashion calculated to create a presumption that the US was acting in bad feith if any untoward events occurred in Cuba.
- It seeks to reduce to an ebsolute minimum, and would wish to avoid entirely if it could, any provision for on-site inspection or continuing the presence in Oubs.
- -- In intends to maintain a presence in Cuba, and would prefer to maintain a military presence there.
- -- However, Moscow is apparently willing to trade off at least some elements of its actual or potential military processe (the IL-28s, for example) if it can thereby end the quarantine, minimize verification and maximize the acceptance of the Castro regime.

The Soviet Union almost certainly expects Castro to be disgruntled at the conclusion of the crisio. The Soviets probably estimate that even the most feverable terms which they can hope to obtain from the US will not leave the Cubars content, and Castro will centimue to feel that he has been sold on the Soviets. But the Soviets probably also calculate that their best children in the Castro is to obtain a negotiated settlement of the US. If the Soviets can assure the salety of the Castro regime, they probably bulleye that they can in time use economic aid and political support or re-ostables satisfactory report with the Cubars.

At the mane time the Soviet Union will have succeeded in preserving a communist regime in Latin America as a froshold and as an example of other potential communist regimes. If the Doviet Union can thus assure other ascurity security, it will do much to offset the comago to Soviet prestigs involved in the missile withdrawal. The Soviets probably recken that blue critics would have less cause for thinking the USER had been defeated if it could domostrate that retreat was a sound tactic for preserving a communist foothold in Latin America.

Soviet Objectives in Other Negotiations

More broadly, the Soviets appear to be interested in using a Cuban settlement as a starting point from which to pursue other objectives vis-L-vis the West. These broader objectives are far less clearly visible than Soviet goals for a Cuban settlement; they may in fact, still be under review in Moscow.





For the moment the Soviet Union has advanced only two ware or less concrete negotiating proposals, on the temporary presence of Western troops in West Berlin under a UN flag and on the use of "black boxes" to monitor a test-ban. These proposals had evidently been planned for some time prior to the Cuban orisis.

Moscow's present emphasis on the possibility of negotiated settlements could be nothing more than a tactical ruse to lure the US into a more favorable Cuban settlement, at the other extreme Moscow could conceivably have radically altered its view of the world in the three weeks since it decided that it would have to withdraw its missiles from Cuba; the Soviets may have concluded that given their strategic inferiority and the grim prospect of an endless and economically debilitating arms race, the time has come for a farreaching settlement of outstanding issues such as Berlin and a start on general disarmament.

While neither of these extreme possibilities can be entirely ruled out on the basis of the evidence presently at hand, both appear improbable. On a balance, past Soviet performance and the few indications of Soviet intentions that we have, point to an effort to engage the US in negotiations on a series of topics both for the sake of the atmospheric gains to be derived from the negotiating process itself and in the hope of obtaining some agreements on acceptable terms. While Moscow appears willing to make some initial concession in order to get negotiations started, there is any yet little indication of how far the Soviets may be willing to go in order to secure agreements.

Negotiated Settlement

Broadly speaking there are two principal alternatives by which the present negotiations in New York may be concluded — either a negotiated agreement or a stalemate in the talks.

A negotiated settlement of the Cuban crisis would provide the Soviet Union with much or all that it could hope to achieve in Cuba under present circumstances.

We omit as infeasible under present circumstances a US invasion of Cuba.
Coviously, in case of invasion the USSX would have to withdraw from meaningful negotiations with the US for a protracted period of time.





Initially Castro's disastinistic nearly a maximized. He had regard any Saviet concessions in the negotiations as selling out his inclusts to the Di-

But the Castro regime would be substantially enfoguarded from invasion, and by implication, at least, from other US actions against it. Soviet presence in Cuba, as a denor of economic assistance, a sponsor of subversive activities also where in the hemisphere, and probably as a military protector as well would be assingle event which would tend to be obscured by subsequent developments, and with time Castro would have little choice but to reconcile himself to the sucrus que.

Communication would doubtlose apport and encourage Castro in any obserges of Soviet dighloity and disleyably to principles of international communication. For an Course's relations which Moscow improved, there would be less opportunity for Peiphin to attempt to manipulate Soviet-Coben differences for the purpose of attaining Soviet places. Smillarly, Peiphings ubility to play up Moscow's withdrawal of its missibles as a lafeat for Soviet policy would be reduced as the descriptional fact of continued communist presence in latin facting profits and your processes.

Hosous would be free to use the Suban settlement as a point of separate for other rapidistions, but once that has gotten what they could in Subanian Societ hide reside feel little meed to make concessions of the US on other facts. For each of Subanian Subanian set the US on other facts on the US on other facts of the US

Since the US is not likely to obtain its exchange deads for mestic imposition and continuing UN presence in Cuba, is coall refuse to issue and continuing UN presence in Cuba, is coall refuse to issue a non-invasion guarantee. Depending on whether or not the IL-22s were removed, the UL-23th lift or maintain the quaranthee on lifensive weapons. Aerial curveillant would of course continue. The US would nother take immediate action to 1985 the Labor status quo to which Moscow's prestige is heavily committed, nor

^{1.} The US would be spared the problems which a guarantee for Castro would ored a for US policy in Lawin America. To make how corefully it was worded, a product for Castro would be required by many Latin American governments as a law year has of the legitimacy of the Castro regime and as a tool, acceptance of collabound in Latin America.



SECRET/NOFORM

- 5 -

would it commit itself to the preservation of the Castro regime and the Soviet presence in Cuba. In effect, the present crisis with the possibility of further Use action against Cuba would be perpetuated. The talks in New York would soon be obviously futile and would probably be allowed to peter out.

Effect on the Soviet Position in Cuba

There would be little immediate effect on the Soviet position in Cuba, While the Soviets would almost certainly prefer the advantages of a clear-cut settlement and some form of explicit US commitment to Cuba's safety the basic Soviet interest in maintaining a presence in Cuba would not at the outset be affected. There might well be no cocasion for a dramatic response on Moscow's part as the New York talks drifted into a stalemate, and the US took no direct action to unset the status quo.

This approach would not be calculated either to force the Soviets out of invasion, the disappearance of Castro finself, or a major split in the Cuban ruling combination could be counted on to have that effect. For a discussion of the prospects of such a split see Research Memorandum RAR-45 which is a companion piece to this report. However, the US would be free to maintain as its ultimate goal the downfall of Castro and the end of the Soviet presence in Latin America, and would in the future be free to take whatever action it might find desirable.

As time went on the Soviet presence in Cuba would be made more expensive and difficult. Castro would have less immediate cause for dissatisfaction than he would under a negotiated agreement, but the sources of his discontent would remain, and over the long run Cuban-Soviet tensions would be reinforced.

Failure to obtain a guarantee of Cuba's security from the US would tend to enourage Soviet-Cuban differences over policy toward the US and the degree of Moscovits commitment to the defense of Cuba. Precisely because the future was uncertain, Cuba would seek more assurance from Moscow while the Soviets would be chary of extending commitments which they might be reluctant to fulfill.

Continuation of US surveillance, and the quarantine if maintained, would be another source of Soviet-Cuban differences. Castro would doubtless demand action which the Soviet Union was unwilling to take. The continuation of overflights and the quarantine would of course subject the US to a risk of incidents. These activities would however, provide a higher degree of assurance that Soviet offensive weapons were not reintroduced than would be afforded by any foreseeable agreement, and they could by the foreseeable agreement, and they could be afforded by any foreseeable agreement, and they could be afforded by any foreseeable agreement, and they could be afforded by any foreseeable agreement, and they could be afforded by any foreseeable agreement, and they could be afforded by any foreseeable agreement, and they could be afforded by any foreseeable agreement, and they could be afforded by any foreseeable agreement.

SECRET/NOFOR